arXiv:1604.08417v4 [math.AG] 6 Jul 2016

STABLE RATIONALITY OF CYCLIC COVERS OF PROJECTIVE SPACES

TAKUZO OKADA

Abstract. The main aim of this paper is to show that a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^n$ branched along a very general divisor of degree d is not stably rational provided that $n \geq 3$ and $d \geq n+1$. This generalizes the result of Colliot-Thélène and Pirutka. Generalizations for cyclic covers over complete intersections and applications to suitable Fano manifolds are also discussed.

1. Introduction

In this paper we study stable rationality of cyclic covers of various varieties. We first explain known non-rationality results on cyclic covers of $\mathbb{P}^n$. Kollár [12] proved that a wide range of cyclic covers over $\mathbb{P}^n$ is not rational and, for some of them, it is moreover proved that they are not birational to a conic bundle. Although the non-rationality result overlaps the avobe mentioned Kollár's result, there are some results which focus more on birational nature of cyclic coverings. It is proved in e.g. [15], [5] that a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^n$ branched along a divisor of degree $2n$ is birationally superrigid for $n \geq 3$, which in particular implies that the variety is not birational to a Mori fiber space other than itself. It is also proved in [4] that a triple cover of $\mathbb{P}^{2n}$ branched along a divisor of degree $3n$ is birationally superrigid for $n \geq 2$.

Recently, non-stable-rationality of a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along a very general quartic is proved by Voisin [17] by introducing a new specialization method. Beauville [1] proved that a double cover of $\mathbb{P}^3$ branched along a very general sextic is not stably rational. The idea has been amplified and applied to many varieties other than cyclic covers such as hypersurfaces [6, 16], conic bundles [9], Fano 3-folds and del Pezzo fibrations [8], and quadric surface bundles [10].

It is proved by Colliot-Thélène and Pirutka [7] that a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^n$ branched along a very general divisor of degree d is not stably rational if $d \geq n+1$, $n \geq 3$ and the covering degree is a prime number. The first aim of this paper is to drop the assumption on the covering degree.

Theorem 1.1. *A cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^n$ defined over $\mathbb{C}$ branched along a very general divisor of degree d is not stably rational provided that $n \geq 3$ and $d \geq n+1$. If in addition $d \geq n+2$ and the covering degree is not a power of 2, then it is not birational to a conic bundle.*

The main part of the proof is to prove the existence of a "good" resolution of singularities of an inseparable cyclic covering space defined over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p, which will be discussed in Section 4. Existence of such a good resolution is known for some restricted cases, for example, the case when the covering degree is p (see [7]). In this paper, we establish the existence of

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14E08 ; Secondary 14C15, 14G17.
Key words and phrases. Stable rationality; cyclic cover.

such a good resolution in full generality, that is, for inseparable cyclic covering of arbitrary degree over an arbitrary nonsingular variety (see Proposition 4.1).

The existence result of a good resolution in a general setting enables us to work with cyclic covers over varieties other than $\mathbb{P}^n$ and provides us with a simple proof of non-stable-rationality of many cyclic covers whose covering degrees are not too small. The following generalization of Theorem 1.1 is motivated by the very recent work of Chatzistamatiou and Levine [3], where, among other deep results, it is in particular proved that a very general Fano complete intersection of index 1 is not stably rational.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a cyclic cover of a very general complete intersection of type $(d_1, \dots, d_r)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+r}$ branched along a very general divisor of degree $d \geq 2$ such that $n \geq 3$ and $d + d_1 + \cdots + d_r \geq n + r + 1$. Then X is not stably rational. If in addition $d + d_1 + \cdots + d_r \geq n + r + 2$ and the covering degree is not a power of 2, then X is not birational to a conic bundle.*

We explain a further application.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $n \geq 3, a, b, d$ be positive integer satisfying the following properties.*

(1) *a and b are coprime.*
(2) *d is divisible by both a and b, and $d/a, d/b \geq 2$.*
(3) *There is a prime number p which divides d/b but not d/a.*
(4) *$n + a \leq d < n + a + b$.*

Then a very general weighted hypersurface X of degree d in $\mathbb{P}(1^n, a, b)$, defined over $\mathbb{C}$, is a nonsingular Fano n-fold which is not stably rational.

In dimension 3, this recovers the result of [8] for Fano 3-folds $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2, 3)$, where the subscript indicates the degree. By [2], nonsingular Fano 4-fold weighted hypersurfaces of index 1 consist of 4 families, $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$, $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2)$, $X_8 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 4)$ and $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 5)$, where the non-stable-rationality of $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ is proved in [16]. Following is a consequence of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3.

Corollary 1.4. *A very general nonsingular Fano 4-fold weighted hypersurface of index 1 is not stably rational.*

Acknowledgments. The author is partially supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 26800019.

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we explain idea of proof of Theorem 1.1 by recalling necessary definitions and results. For a variety X, we denote by $\mathrm{CH}_0(X)$ the Chow group of 0-cycles on X. For universal CH_0-triviality, we refer readers to the survey paper [14] (ant the references therein) for details.

Definition 2.1. (1) A projective variety X defined over a field k is *universally CH_0-trivial* if for any field F containing k, the degree map $\mathrm{CH}_0(X_F) \to \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.
(2) A projective morphism $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ defined over a field k is *universally CH_0-trivial* if for any field F containing k, the push-forward map $\varphi_*\colon \mathrm{CH}_0(Y_F) \to \mathrm{CH}_0(X_F)$ is an isomorphism.

For the proof of Theorem 1.1, we apply the following specialization argument.

Lemma 2.2 ([6, Lemma 1.5]). *If X is a smooth, projective, stably rational variety, then X is universally* CH_0*-trivial.*

Theorem 2.3 ([6, Théorème 1.14]). *Let A be a discrete valuation ring with fraction field K and residue field k, with k algebraically closed. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a flat proper scheme over A with geometrically integral fibers. Let X be the general fiber $\mathcal{X} \times_A K$ and Y the special fiber $X \times_A k$. Assume that there is a universally* CH_0*-trivial proper birational morphism $Y' \to Y$ with Y' smooth over k. Let $\overline{K}$ be an algebraic closure of K. Assume that there is a proper birational morphism $X' \to X$ smooth over K such that $X'_{\overline{K}}$ is universally* CH_0*-trivial. Then Y' is universally* CH_0*-trivial.*

Let X be a cyclic cover of $\mathbb{P}^n$ or a very general complete intersection branched along a very general divisor of degree d and let m be the covering degree. Theorem 2.3 enables us to work over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic p, where we can apply Kollár's construction of a suitable line bundle $\mathcal{M} \subset (\Omega^{n-1}_X)^{\vee\vee}$ (Here $\vee\vee$ denotes the double dual). Kollár's construction will be explained in the next section. The condition $d \geq n+1$ or $d + d_1 + \cdots + d_r \geq n + r + 1$ implies $H^0(X, \mathcal{M}) \neq 0$. We apply the following criterion for universal CH_0-triviality.

Theorem 2.4 ([16, Lemma 2.2]). *Let X be a smooth projective variety over a field k. If $H^0(X, \Omega^i_X) \neq 0$ for some $i > 0$, then X is not universally* CH_0*-trivial.*

The above mentioned Kollár's construction is valid only when the characteristic divides m, which forces X to have singularities. It is then important to construct a universally trivial CH_0 resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ such that $\varphi^*\mathcal{M} \subset \Omega^{n-1}_Y$. The latter implies $H^0(Y, \Omega^{n-1}_Y) \neq 0$, hence Theorem 1.1 (see Section 5 for precise arguments).

3. Singularities of inseparable coverings

We briefly recall Kollár's construction of a suitable line bundle on a covering space and give an explicit description of singularities of such a space. We refer readers to [13, V.5] for details. Then we introduce the notion of admissible critical point, which in most of the cases coincides with (almost) nondegenerate critical point introduced by Kollár, but is important when the characteristic is 2.

Throughout the present section, we keep the following setting. We work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of positive characteristic p. Let Z be a smooth variety of dimension n defined over $\Bbbk$, m a positive integer such that $p \mid m$, $\mathcal{L}$ a line bundle on Z and $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^m)$.

3.1. **Kollár's construction.** Let $U = \mathrm{Spec}(\oplus_{i \geq 0} \mathcal{L}^{-i})$ be the total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ and $\pi_U\colon U \to Z$ the natural morphism. We denote by $y \in H^0(U, \pi_U^*\mathcal{L})$ the zero section and define

$$Z[\sqrt[m]{s}] = (y^m - s = 0) \subset U.$$

Set $X = Z[\sqrt[m]{s}]$ and let $\pi = \pi_U|_X\colon X \to Z$ be the covering morphism.

Let τ be a local generator of $\mathcal{L}$ at a point $\mathsf{q} \in Z$ and $t = f\tau^m$ a local section of $\mathcal{L}$, where $f \in \mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}$. Let $x_1, \ldots, x_n$ be local coordinates of Z at q. Then we define

$$d(t) = \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} t^m dx_i \in \mathcal{L}^m \otimes \Omega^1_Z.$$

This is independent of the choices of local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and the local generator τ, and thus defines $d\colon \mathcal{L}^m \to \mathcal{L}^m \otimes \Omega^1_Z$. For the section $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^m)$, we can view $d(s)$ as a sheaf homomorphism $d(s)\colon \mathcal{O}_Z \to \mathcal{L}^m \otimes \Omega^1_Z$. By taking the tensor product with $\mathcal{L}^{-m}$, we obtain $ds\colon \mathcal{L}^{-m} \to \Omega^1_Z$.

Definition 3.1. We define

$$\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s) = (\det \operatorname{Coker}(ds))^{\vee\vee}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s) \cong \mathcal{L}^m \otimes \omega_Z$ and, by [13, V.5.5 Lemma], there is an injection

$$\rho^* \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s) \hookrightarrow (\Omega^1_X)^{\vee\vee}.$$

We explain a local generator of $\pi^*\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s)$. Let $\mathsf{p} \in X$ be a point and $\mathsf{q} = \pi(\mathsf{p}) \in Z$. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be local coordinates of Z at q, τ a local generator and write $s = f\tau^m$. We set

$$\eta_i = \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_n}{\partial f / \partial x_i}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then $\eta_i = \pm\eta_j$ and they give a local generator of $\pi^*\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s)$ which we denote by η_{p} (see [13, V.5.9 Lemma]).

3.2. **Description of singularities.** The singularities of $X = Z[\sqrt[m]{s}]$ can be understood in terms of critical points of s.

Definition 3.2. Let $s \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^m)$ be a section. We say that s has a critical point at $\mathsf{q} \in Z$ if $d(s) \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^m \otimes \Omega^1_Z)$ vanishes at q. We say that s is *singular* at $\mathsf{q} \in Z$ if it has a critical point at q and s vanishes at q.

Suppose that s has a critical point at q. Then we say that s has a *nondegenerate critical point* at q if in a suitable local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and a local generator τ of $\mathcal{L}$, s can be written as

$$s = (\alpha + q(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (\text{higher degree terms}))\tau^m,$$

in a neighborhood of q, where $\alpha \in \Bbbk$, and the quadratic part q is nondegenerate. If $p = 2$ and n is odd, then a critical point is always degenerate. In this case we say that s has an *almost nondegenerate critical point* at q if in a suitable choice of local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and a local generator τ of $\mathcal{L}$, s can be written as

$$s = (\alpha + \beta x_1^2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n + f)\tau^m,$$

where $\alpha, \beta \in \Bbbk$, f consists of monomials of degree at least 3 and the coefficient of x_1^3 in f is nonzero.

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Sing} X = \pi^{-1}(\operatorname{Crit}(s))$, where $\operatorname{Crit}(s)$ denotes the set of critical points of s. We give an explicit local description of singularities of X. Suppose that s has a (almost) nondegenerate critical point at $\mathsf{q} \in Z$. Then, by a suitable choice of local coordinates, s can be written as $s = \alpha + q + f$, where $\alpha \in \Bbbk$,

$$q = \begin{cases} x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \beta x_1^2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n, & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

and $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ consists of monomials of degree at least 3. Moreover, if n is odd and $p \neq 2$, then we may assume $\beta = 1$, and if n is odd and $p = 2$, then the coefficient of x_1^3 in f is nonzero. Then locally over q, X is defined by

$$y^m + \alpha + q + f = 0. \tag{2}$$

Lemma 3.3. *Suppose s has an (alomost) nondegenerate critical point at q. Let $\mathsf{p} \in X$ be a singular point such that $\pi(\mathsf{p}) = \mathsf{q}$. Then in a suitable choice of local coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and y of X with origin at p, X is defined by an equation of the form*

$$\gamma_e y^{ed} + \gamma_{e-1} y^{(e-1)d} + \cdots + \gamma_2 y^{2d} + y^d + q + f = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $p \mid d$, $e \geq 1$, $\gamma_i \in \Bbbk$ and q, f are as above.

Proof. If $\alpha = 0$, then the claim follows obviously by setting $e = 1$ and $d = m$. Suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$. We write $m = de$, where d is a power of p and e is not divisible by p. Then the claim follows by replacing $y \mapsto y - \sqrt[m]{\alpha}$ and then scaling y in the defining equation (2). □

Remark 3.4. Let $\mathsf{p} \in X$ be as in Lemma 3.3 and η_{p} the local generator of $\pi^*\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{L}, s)$. In the next section, we choose η_n as a representative of η_{p}. Then

$$\eta_{\mathsf{p}} = \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1}}{x_n + \cdots},$$

where the omitted term in $x_n + \cdots$ is a sum of monomials of degree at least 2.

3.3. **Admissible critical points.** In the next section, we will construct a resolution of singularities of the germ $\mathsf{p} \in X$ given in Lemma 3.3. However we have a problem in constructing a desired resolution when n is odd, $p = 2$, $d > 2$ and $\beta = 0$ in the defining equation (3). Under the assumption that n is odd and $p = 2$, this bad situation happens if and only if $\beta = 0$ and either $2^2 \mid m$ or $m > 2$ and s is singular at q (i.e. $\alpha = 0$). We explain that, in this case, we can avoid the situation $\beta = 0$ under a mild condition.

We assume that s has a critical point at q. Moreover we assume that n is odd, $p = 2$ and either $2^2 \mid m$ or $m > 2$ and s is singular at q. Let $x_1, \dots, x_n$ be local coordinates of Z at q and τ a local generator of $\mathcal{L}$ at q. Then $s = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)\tau^m$ and we can write $g = \alpha + g_1 + g_2 + \cdots$, where $\delta \in \Bbbk$ and g_i is homogeneous of degree i. Note that $g_1 = 0$ since s has a critical point at q. If we choose another local generator τ' of $\mathcal{L}$ and write $s = g'\tau'^m$, then $g' = u^m g$ where $u = u(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a unit of $\mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}$. We can write $u = \lambda + h$ for some nonzero $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ and $h \in \mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{p}}$. We note that the ground field is of characteristic 2 and $2 \mid m$. It follows that $g_2' = \lambda^m g_2$ since either $2^2 \mid m$ or $m > 2$ and $\alpha = 0$. Thus, the quadratic part g_2 does not depend on the choice of local generator (up to a multiple by a non-zero constant).

Definition 3.5. Under the assumption, we define $T(s, \mathsf{q})$ to be

$$(g_2 = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^{n-1} = \operatorname{Proj} \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n]$$

and call it the *quadric associated with the critical point* q of s.

Note that the isomorphism class of $T(s, \mathsf{q})$ does not depend on the choice of local coordinates.

Lemma 3.6. *Assume that $n = \dim Z$ is odd, $p = 2$ and $m > 2$. Let $V \subset H^0(Z, \mathcal{L}^m)$ be a finite dimensional vector space and assume that the restrictions map*

$$V \to \mathcal{L}^m \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{q}}^3)$$

is surjective for any point $\mathsf{q} \in Z$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{\mathsf{q}}$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathsf{q}}$. Then, a general $s \in V$ has only critical points q such that $T(s, \mathsf{q})$ is nonsingular.

Proof. Proof will be done by counting dimensions. Let $V_{\mathsf{q}}^{\mathrm{cr}}$ be the set of sections in V which has a critical point at q. By the surjectivity of the restriction map, we see that $V_{\mathsf{q}}^{\mathrm{cr}}$ is of codimension n in V. Again, by the surjectivity of the restriction map, the set $V_{\mathsf{q}}^{\mathrm{sing}}$ of sections s in $V_{\mathsf{q}}^{\mathrm{cr}}$ for which $T(s,\mathsf{q})$ is singular is of codimension 1 in V^{cr}. Therefore, the assertions follows by the dimension counting argument. □

We finish this section by introducing a unified notion of (almost) nondegenerate critical point and the singularity of $T(s,\mathsf{q})$, and then improving the description in Lemma 3.3.

Definition 3.7. We say that $s \in H^0(Z,\mathcal{L}^m)$ has an *admissible critical point* at $\mathsf{q} \in Z$ if s satisfies one of the following:

(1) Either n is even or n is odd and $p \neq 2$, and s has a nondegenerate critical point at q.
(2) n is odd, $p = 2$, $m = 2$ and s has an almost nondegenerate critical point at q.
(3) n is odd, $p = 2$, $m \neq 2$, $2^2 \nmid m$ and s has an almost nondegenerate critical point at q but not singular at q.
(4) n is odd, $p = 2$, $m \neq 2$, $2^2 \nmid m$, s has an almost nondegenerate critical point at q, s is singular at q and $T(s,\mathsf{q})$ is nonsingular.
(5) n is odd, $p = 2$, $2^2 \mid m$, s has an almost nondegenerate critical point at q and $T(s,\mathsf{q})$ is nonsingular.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose s has an admissible critical point at q. Let $\mathsf{p} \in X$ be a singular point such that $\pi(\mathsf{p}) = \mathsf{q}$ and let*

$$\gamma_e y^{ed} + \gamma_{e-1} y^{(e-1)d} + \cdots + \gamma_2 y^{2d} + y^d + q + f = 0,$$

be the defining equation of the germ $\mathsf{p} \in X$ given in Lemma 3.3. *If n is odd, $p = 2$ and $d > 2$, then $\beta \neq 0$, that is, x_1^2 appears in q with non-zero coefficients.*

Proof. Suppose that n is odd and $p = 2$. Then the case $d > 2$ happens if and only if either $2^2 \mid m$ or $m \neq 2$ and s is singular at q. In this case $T(s,\mathsf{q})$ is nonsingular and this implies that $\beta \neq 0$. □

4. Good resolution of inseparable coverings

The aim of this section is to prove the following.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\mathsf{p} \in X = Z[\sqrt[m]{s}]$ be a germ of an isolated singularity corresponding to an admissible critical point of s at $\pi(\mathsf{p})$. Assume that $n = \dim Z = \dim X \geq 3$. Then there exists a universally CH_0-trivial resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ of singularities such that the pullback $\varphi^*\eta_{\mathsf{p}}$ is a regular section of Ω_Y^{n-1}.*

We actually prove the following for the resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ in Proposition 4.1: The exceptional divisor $\varphi^{-1}(\mathsf{p}) = \cup E_i$ is a chain of nonsingular rational varieties. More precisely, each irreducible component E_i is a nonsingular rational variety, $E_i \cap E_j = \emptyset$ if $|i-j| > 1$ and $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$ is an $(n-2)$-dimensional nonsingular quadric. Universal CH_0-triviality follows from Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.3 below.

The resolution will be constructed by successive blowups at each stage of the unique singular point. The exceptional divisor of the last blowup is either a projective space or a nonsingular quadric. The other irreducible component E_i is the blowup $\mathrm{Bl}_{v_i}(Q_i)$ of a quadric cone Q_i at its vertex v_i and E_i intersects E_{i-1} along the exceptional divisor of $E_i = \mathrm{Bl}_{v_i} Q_i \to Q_i$.

Proposition 4.2 ([6, Proposition 1.7]). *Let $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ be a projective morphism such that for any scheme point x of X, the fiber $\varphi^{-1}(x)$, considered as a variety over the residue field $k(x)$, is universally CH_0-trivial. Then φ is universally CH_0-trivial.*

Lemma 4.3 ([7, Lemma 2.4]). *Let $X = \cup X_i$ be a projective, reduced, geometrically connected variety such that each X_i is universally CH_0-trivial and geometrically irreducible, and each intersection $X_i \cap X_j$ is either empty or has a 0-cycle of degree 1. Then X is universally CH_0-trivial.*

The desired resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ will be constructed by successive blowups at a point. We explain notation necessary to describe those blowups.

Let $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ be an affine space with affine coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and y and $V \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ a hypersurface defined by $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) = 0$ passing through the origin $\mathsf{p} \in \mathbb{A}^{n+1}$. We decompose $f = f_d + f_{>d}$, where f_d and $f_{>d}$ consists of monomials of degree d and at least $d+1$, respectively (with respect to the usual grading $\deg x_i = \deg y = 1$). Let $\sigma\colon W \to V$ be the blowup of V at p. The varieties W are covered by the standard affine charts which we denote by $W_{x_1}, \dots, W_{x_n}$ and W_y. Explicitly, the x_i-chart and the y-chart are described as

$$W_{x_i} = (f(x_1x_i, \dots, x_{i-1}x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}x_i, \dots, x_nx_i, yx_i)/x_i^d = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$
$$W_y = (f(x_1, y, \dots, x_ny, y)/y^d = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

The exceptional divisor E is isomorphic to $(f_d = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, where $\mathbb{P}^n$ is a projective space with coordinates $x_1, \dots, x_n$ and y, and on W_{x_i} and W_y, E is defined by $x_i = 0$ and $y = 0$, respectively. If $\mathsf{p} \in V$ is a germ of an isolated singularity, then the singular locus of W is entirely contained in E, hence, for example, on the y-chart W_y, $\mathrm{Sing}(W_y)$ is contained in $(y = 0)$.

4.1. **Case: Either n is even or n is odd and $p \neq 2$.** By Lemma 3.3, we have

$$\mathsf{p} \in X \cong o \in (y^d + q + f = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

where $p \mid d$, q is of the form (1) and f is a sum of monomials $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n}$ with $\sum i_k \geq 3$ and y^j with $j \geq 2d$. The existence of the desired resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ is already constructed in [13, V.5.10 Proposition] and we briefly explain the construction.

Let $\sigma_1\colon X_1 \to X$ be the blowup at p. If $d = 2$ (in this case $p = 2$), then X_1 is nonsingular and the σ_1-exceptional divisor E_1 is a nonsingular quadric. Suppose that $d \geq 3$. It is easy to see that the x_i-chart $(X_1)_{x_i}$ is nonsingular for any i and

$$(X_1)_y \cong (y^{d-2} + q + \cdots = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}.$$

Here we omit higher degree terms in defining equations simply because these are not necessary to analyze singularities. We have $\mathrm{Sing}\, X_1 = \{\mathsf{p}_1\}$, where p_1 is the origin of $(X_1)_y$. The σ_1-exceptional divisor E_1 is isomorphic to $(q = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}^n$, which is a quadric cone with vertex p_1. Let $\sigma_2\colon X_2 \to X_1$ be the blowup at p_1. We see that $\mathrm{Sing}(X_2) = \{\mathsf{p}_2\}$, where p_2 is the origin of the y-chart $(X_2)_y$ of the blowup σ_2 and the σ_2-exceptional divisor E_2 is again a quadric cone with vertex p_2. The proper transform $(\sigma_2)_*^{-1}E_1$ is the blowup of E_1 at the vertex p_1, so that it is a nonsingular rational variety. Moreover the intersection $((\sigma_2)_*^{-1}E_1) \cap E_2$ is the fiber of the blowup $(\sigma_2)_*^{-1}E_1 \to E_1$, which is a nonsingular quadric, and it does not contain the point p_2 (which will be the center of the next blowup).

Iterating this process, we obtain a resolution $\varphi\colon X_k \to X$ of singularities. However the exceptional divisor E_k of the last blowup is still a quadric cone with vertex p_k

while all the other exceptional divisors are nonsingular. We blowup X_k once more at p_k and let $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ be the resulting morphism. By construction, $\varphi^{-1}(\mathsf{p}) = \cup E_i$ is a chain of nonsingular rational varieties and $E_i \cap E_{i+1}$ is a nonsingular quadric. Then each exceptional divisor of the resulting birational morphism $Y \to X$ is a nonsingular rational variety. The fact that $\varphi^*\eta_{\mathsf{p}}$ does not have a pole along any exceptional divisor is proved in [13, V.5.10]. Therefore Proposition 4.1 is proved in this case.

4.2. **Case: n is odd and $p = 2$.** In this case, n is odd, $p = 2$ and

$$\mathsf{p} \in X \cong (y^d + q + \gamma x_1^3 + c + f = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

where d, q, c, f are as follows:

- d is even and $\gamma \in \Bbbk$ is nonzero.
- $q = \beta x_1^2 + x_2x_3 + x_4x_5 + \cdots + x_{n-1}x_n$, where $\beta \in \Bbbk$ is 1 if $d \neq 2$.
- $c = c(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is homogeneous of degree 3 and it does not contain x_1^3.
- $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ is a sum of monomials $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y^j$ satisfying either $\sum i_k \geq 4$ or $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ and $j \geq 2d$.

Note that this follows from Lemmas 3.3 and 3.8.

If $d = 2$, then, by [13, Proposition V.5.2], the blowup $\sigma\colon X_1 \to X$ at p resolves the singularities of X, its exceptional divisor E_1 is a quadric cone with vertex p_1, and $\sigma_1^*\eta$ does not have a pole along E_1. If we blowup up $Y \to X_1$ at p_1, then the proper transform of E_1 is a nonsingular variety, hence we obtains the desired resolution.

In the following, we assume $d \neq 2$.

Definition 4.4. Let $h = h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$ be a polynomial, and a, b be positive integers. We say that h is of *type* $(a; b)$ if h is a linear combination of monomials $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y^j$ satisfying either $\sum i_k \geq a$ or $i_1 = \cdots = i_n = 0$ and $j \geq b$.

For a polynomial $h = h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$, we define

$$h^* := h(x_1y, \dots, x_ny, y).$$

Note that if h is of type $(a; b)$, then h^* is also of type $(a; b)$.

Set $X_0 = X$, $\mathsf{p}_0 = \mathsf{p} \in X$ and $f_0 = f$ which is of type $(4; 2d)$.

Lemma 4.5. *For $i = 1, 2, \dots, d/2$, there exists a sequence $\sigma_i\colon X_i \to X_{i-1}$ of blowups at a point $\mathsf{p}_{i-1} \in X_{i-1}$ with the following properties.*

(1) *For any i,* $\operatorname{Sing} X_i = \{\mathsf{p}_i\}$.
(2) *For $i \geq 1$, the σ_i-exceptional divisor E_i is a quadric cone with vertex p_i.*
(3) *For $i = 2, \dots, k$, the proper transform $(\sigma_i)_*^{-1}E_{i-1}$ does not contain p_i.*
(4) *There is an isomorphism*

$$\mathsf{p}_{d/2} \in X_{d/2} \cong o \in (y^{d/2}(1 + x_1) + q + y^{d/2}(\ell + g) + y^{d/2}h = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

where ℓ is a linear combination of $x_2, \dots, x_n$, $g = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a sum of monomials of degree at least 2 and h is of type $(2; d/2)$.

Proof. Let $\sigma_1\colon X_1 \to X_0$ be the blowup at p_0. Clearly the x_i-chart $(X_1)_{x_i}$ is nonsingular for $i = 2, \dots, n$, and the x_1-chart $(X_1)_{x_1}$ is also nonsingular because of the presence of x_1^2 in q. We have

$$(X_1)_y \cong (y^{d-2} + q + \gamma y x_1^3 + yc + y^2(f^*/y^4) = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}.$$

We see that $\operatorname{Sing} X_1 = \{\mathsf{p}_1\}$, where p_1 is the origin of the y-chart $(X_1)_y$, and the σ_1-exceptional divisor E_1 is a quadric cone with vertex p_1 since $x_1^2 \in q$. We set $f_1 = f^*/y^4$ which is of type $(4; 2d-4)$.

Let $\sigma_2 \colon X_2 \to X_1$ be the blowup at p_1. As before, $(X_2)_{x_i}$ is nonsingular for any i and

$$(X_2)_y \cong (y^{d-4} + q + \gamma y^2 x_1^3 + y^2 c + y^4 (f_1^*/y^4) = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}.$$

We see that $\operatorname{Sing} X_2 = \{\mathsf{p}_2\}$, where p_2 is the origin of $(X_2)_y$, and E_2 is a quadric cone with vertex p_2. We set $f_2 = f_1^*/y^4$ which is of type $(4; 2d-8)$.

We repeat this process $d/2$ times and we obtain a sequence of blowups

$$X_{d/2} \xrightarrow{\sigma_{d/2}} X_{d/2-1} \to \cdots \xrightarrow{\sigma_1} X_0.$$

We set $f_{d/2} = f_{d/2-1}^*/y^4$ which is of type $(4; 0)$. The variety $X_{d/2}$ is nonsingular along the x_i-charts of the blowup $\sigma_{d/2}$ for any i and

$$(X_{d/2})_y \cong (1 + q + \gamma y^{d/2} x_1^3 + y^{d/2} c + y^d f_{d/2} = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}.$$

We see that $\operatorname{Sing} X_{d/2} = \{\mathsf{p}_{d/2}\}$, where $\mathsf{p}_{d/2} = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$ on the y-chart, and the $\sigma_{d/2}$-exceptional divisor $E_{d/2}$ is a quadric cone with vertex $\mathsf{p}_{d/2}$.

Now we make a coordinate change $x_1 \mapsto x_1 + 1$, which makes $\mathsf{p}_{d/2}$ the origin. After the coordinate change, we have

$$(X_{d/2})_y \cong (\gamma y^{d/2}(1 + x_1) + q + \cdots = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

where the omitted term in the above equation is

$$y^{d/2}(\gamma x_1^3 + \gamma x_1^2 + c(x_1 + 1, x_2, \dots, x_n)) + y^d f_{d/2}(x_1 + 1, x_2 \dots, x_n, y)$$

and we can write it as $y^{d/2}(\ell + g) + y^d h$, where ℓ is a linear combination of $x_2, \dots, x_n$, $g = g(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a sum of monomials of degree at least 2 and $h = h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$. By scaling y, we have the desired description of $\mathsf{p}_{d/2} \in X_{d/2}$.

Finally we check (2). On the y-chart $(X_{i-1})_y$ of the blowup σ_{i-1}, the exceptional divisor E_{i-1} is defined by $y = 0$. Since the singular point $\mathsf{p}_i \in X_i$ is contained in the y-chart of σ_i, we verify (2). □

We need to construct a resolution of $\mathsf{p}_{d/2} \in X_{d/2}$.

Definition 4.6. Let k be a positive integer. We say that a germ $\mathsf{q} \in V$ of an n-dimensional isolated hypersurface singularity is of *type* k if

$$\mathsf{q} \in V \cong o \in (y^k(1 + x_1) + q + y^k(\ell + g) + y^{2k} h = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

where ℓ is a linear combination of $x_2, \dots, x_n$, g is a sum of monomials $x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_n} y^j$ satisfying $i_1 + \cdots + i_n \geq 2$ and $h = h(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$.

Lemma 4.7. *Let $\mathsf{q}_0 \in V_0$ be a germ of type $2k$ with $k \geq 1$. Then, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, there is a sequence $\tau_i \colon V_i \to V_{i-1}$ of blowups at a point $\mathsf{q}_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}$ with the following properties.*

1. *For any $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $\operatorname{Sing} V_i = \{\mathsf{q}_i\}$.*
2. *If $k \geq 2$, then $\operatorname{Sing} V_k = \{\mathsf{q}_k\}$, and if $k = 1$, then V_k is nonsingular.*
3. *For $1 \leq i \leq k$, the τ_i-exceptional divisor F_i is a quadric cone with vertex q_i.*
4. *For $2 \leq i \leq k$, the proper transform $(\tau_i)_*^{-1} F_{i-1}$ does not contain q_i.*
5. *If $k \geq 2$, then the germ $\mathsf{q}_k \in V_k$ is of type k.*

Proof. Let $\tau_1\colon V_1 \to V_0$ be the blowup at q_0. We see that $(V_1)_{x_i}$ is nonsingular for any i and

$$(V_1)_y = (y^{2k-2}(1+yx_1) + q + y^{2k-1}\ell + y^{2k}g_1 + y^{4k-2}h_1 = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

where $g_1 = g^*/y^2$ and $h_1 = h^*$. Then $\operatorname{Sing}(V_1) = \{\mathsf{q}_1\}$, where q_1 is the origin of $(V_1)_y$, and the τ_1-exceptional divisor F_1 is a projective quadric cone with vertex q_1.

We repeat this procedure successively: After $(\tau_i, V_i, \mathsf{q}_i, F_i, g_i, h_i)$ is constructed, $\tau_{i+1}\colon V_{i+1} \to V_i$ is the blowup at q_i, q_{i+1} is the origin of the y-chart, F_{i+1} is the τ_{i+1}-exceptional divisor and $g_{i+1} = g_i^*/y^2$, $h_{i+1} = h^*$.

At the kth stage, we have

$$(V_k)_y \cong (1 + y^k x_1 + q + y^k\ell + y^{2k}g_k + y^{2k}h_k = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}.$$

Let q_k be the point $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$ of the y-chart $(V_k)_y$. The τ_k-exceptional divisor F_k is a quadric cone with vertex q_k. We see that $\operatorname{Sing} V_k \subset \{\mathsf{q}_k\}$, and V_k is nonsingular if and only if $k = 1$.

We replace x_1 with $x_1 + 1$, which makes q_k the origin of the y-chart. Then we have

$$(V_k)_y \cong (y^k(1 + x_1) + q + y^k\ell + y^{2k}h' = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1},$$

for some $h' \in \Bbbk[x_1, \dots, x_n, y]$. This shows that $\mathsf{q}_k \in V_k$ is a germ of type $k/2$. Finally, (4) follows since we keep working on the y-charts. □

Lemma 4.8. *Let $\mathsf{q}_0 \in V_0$ be a germ of type $2k+1$ with $k \geq 1$. Then, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, there is a sequence $\tau_i\colon V_i \to V_{i-1}$ of blowups at a point $\mathsf{q}_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}$ with the following properties.*

1. *For any $0 \leq i \leq k-1$, $\operatorname{Sing} V_i = \{\mathsf{q}_i\}$ and V_k is nonsingular.*
2. *For $1 \leq i \leq k$, the τ_i-exceptional divisor F_i is a quadric cone with vertex q_i.*
3. *For $2 \leq i \leq k$, the proper transform $(\tau_i)_*^{-1}F_{i-1}$ does not contain q_i.*

Proof. We repeat the same blowing-up procedure as in the proof of Lemma 4.7 replacing $2k$ by $2k+1$. Let $\tau_i\colon V_i \to V_{i-1}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ be the sequence of blowups at each singular point $\mathsf{q}_{i-1} \in V_{i-1}$. For the y-chart $(V_k)_y$ of the blowup τ_k, we have

$$(V_k)_y \cong y(1 + y^k x_1) + q + y^{k+1}\ell + y^{2k+1}g_k + y^{2k+2}h_k = 0) \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+1}.$$

Since the singular locus of $(V_k)_y$ is contained in the exceptional divisor $(y = 0)$ of τ_k, it is easy to see that V_k is nonsingular. The other assertions follows from the arguments in the proof of Lemma 4.7. □

We can conclude the existence of a resolution of $\mathsf{p} \in X$. We have a sequence $X_{d/2} \to \cdots \to X_0 = X$ of blowups. We write $d/2 = 2^a d'$, where $a \geq 0$ and $d' \geq 1$ is odd. We apply Lemma 4.7 recursively (a times, to be precise) and we obtain a sequence $V_l \to \cdots \to V_0 = X_{d/2}$ of blowups. If $d' = 1$, then V_l is nonsingular, and if $d' > 1$, then V_l has a unique singular point q_l such that $\mathsf{q}_l \in V_l$ is of type d'. In the former case we set $V = V_l$. In the latter case let $V \to \cdots \to V_l$ be a sequence of blowups given in Lemma 4.8. Note that each exceptional divisor is a quadric cone and each blowup center is the vertex of the cone, so that the singularity of each exceptional divisor is also resolved the exceptional divisor, denoted by F, of the last blowup. Let $Y \to V$ be the blowup at the vertex of F. Then each exceptional divisor of the resulting morphism $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ is a nonsingular rational variety. The fact that $\varphi^{-1}(\mathsf{p})$ is a chain and the intersections are nonsingular quadric follows from of Lemma 4.5.(3), Lemma 4.7.(4) and Lemma 4.8.(3).

It remains to check the lifting property of $\eta = \eta_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As in Remark 3.4,

$$\eta = \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1}}{x_{n-1} + \cdots}.$$

Note that the omitted terms consists of monomials of degree at least 2 and we will omit the corresponding term after pulling back to the blowups of X. In order to show that $\varphi^*\eta$ is a regular section of Ω_Y^{n-1}, we introduce the following notion.

Definition 4.9. We define

$$\theta_i = x_i \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \widehat{dx_i} \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1} \wedge dy}{x_{n-1} + \cdots},$$

for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and

$$\theta_y = y \frac{dx_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dx_{n-1}}{x_{n-1} + \cdots}.$$

We say that a rational $(n-1)$-form ω is of type *theta* if it can be written as

$$\omega = f_1\theta_1 + \cdots + f_{n-1}\theta_{n-1} + g\theta_y,$$

for some $f_1, \ldots, f_{n-1}, g \in \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y]$.

Let $\sigma_1 \colon X_1 \to X$ be the first blowup. On the y-chart $(X_1)_y$, we have

$$\sigma_1^*\eta = y^{n-3}(\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{n-1} + \theta_y),$$

and it is of type theta since $n \geq 3$. Hence $\sigma_1^*\eta$ does not have a pole along E_1 because $x_{n-1} + \cdots$ does not vanish entirely along E_1. Let σ be the blowup of $\mathbb{A}^{n+1}$ at the origin. Then, on the y-chart of the blowup, we have

$$\sigma^*\theta_i = y^{n-3}\theta_i, \text{ and } \sigma^*\theta_y = y^{n-2}(\theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{n-1} + \theta_y).$$

This means that, at each blowup, the pullback of an $(n-1)$-form of type theta is again type theta. Therefore $\varphi^*\eta$ is of type theta, which implies that $\varphi^*\eta$ is a regular section of Ω_Y^{n-1}, completing the proof of Proposition 4.1.

5. Proof of Main Theorems

Proof of Theorems 1.1 *and* 1.2. Let Z be a very general complete intersection of type $(d_1, \ldots, d_r)$ in $\mathbb{P}^{n+r}$. We understand that $Z = \mathbb{P}^n$ when $r = 0$. Moreover we assume $d_i \geq 2$ for any i when $r > 0$. By the assumptions in Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, we have $d + d_1 + \cdots + d_r \geq n + r + 1$. Let X be a cyclic cover of Z branched along a very general divisor D of degree d. Let m be the covering degree of $\pi \colon X \to Z$. We choose and fix a prime number p dividing m. By Theorem 2.3, it is enough to show that, over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic p, X admits a universally CH_0-trivial resolution $\varphi \colon Y \to X$ such that Y is not universally CH_0-trivial.

We see that $X \cong Z[\sqrt[m]{f}]$, where $f \in H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(d))$ is the section defining D. If $d > 2$, then the restriction map

$$H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_Z(d)) \to \mathcal{O}_Z(d) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{q}}^4)$$

is surjective for any point $\mathfrak{q} \in Z$. Note that, in case $r = 0$, the inequality $d > 2$ is automatically satisfied since $d \geq n + 1$ and $n \geq 3$. It follows from [13, V.5] and Lemma 3.6, a general $f \in H^0(\mathbb{P}^n, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n})$ has only admissible critical points. It remains to consider the case when $r > 0$ and $d = 2$. However, the same conclusion holds from [3, Lemma 7.6] in this case as well.

Let $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ be the universally CH_0-trivial resolution obtained in Proposition 4.1. Then $\varphi^*\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \Omega_Y^{n-1}$, where $\mathcal{M} = \pi^*\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}_Z(d), f)$. We have $H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^{n-1}) \neq 0$ since

$$\mathcal{M} \cong \pi^*(\omega_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^n}(d)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(d + d_1 + \cdots + d_r - n - r - 1)$$

and $d + d_1 + \cdots + d_r \geq n + r + 1$. By Theorem 2.4, Y is not universally CH_0-trivial, and the non-stable-rationality is proved.

We prove the latter part. Suppose that $d + d_1 + \cdots + d_r \geq n + r + 2$ and the covering degree m is not a power of 2. Then the line bundle $\varphi^*\mathcal{M} \subset \Omega_Y^{n-1}$ is big and we can choose $p \neq 2$ such that $p \mid m$. Thus the assertion follows from [13] (see the proof of V.5.14 Theorem). □

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $x_0, \ldots, x_n, y$ and z be the homogeneous coordinates of $\mathbb{P}(1^n, a, b)$ of weight $1, \ldots, a$ and b, respectively. Let n, a, b, d be as in Theorem 1.3 and we set $l = d/a$, $m = d/b$. We see that the weighted hypersurface X, defined over $\mathbb{C}$, is indeed nonsingular by [11, 8.1 Theorem], since a, b are coprime and $a \mid d$, $b \mid d$. Moreover it is Fano since $\omega_X \cong \mathcal{O}_X(d - n - a - b)$ is anti-ample.

By the assumption (2), we can choose a prime number p which divides m but not l. Let

$$X = (z^m + f(x_0, \ldots, x_n, y) = 0) \subset \mathbb{P}(1^n, a, b)$$

be a weighted hypersurface of degree d defined over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic p. We set $Z = \mathbb{P}(1^n, a)$ and let $Z^\circ = Z \setminus \{(0 : \cdots : 0 : 1)\}$ be the nonsingular locus of Z. Let $\pi\colon X \to Z$ be the projection, and set $X^\circ := \pi^{-1}(Z^\circ)$ and $\pi^\circ = \pi|_{X^\circ}$. Then $X^\circ = Z^\circ[\sqrt[m]{f}]$. If $m = d/b \neq 2$, then we can assume $p \neq 2$. In this case the restriction map

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(d)) \to \mathcal{O}_Z(d) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q}^3)$$

is surjective for any $\mathfrak{q} \in Z^\circ$ since $d/a \geq 2$. If $m = d/b = 2$, then $p = 2$ and

$$H^0(Z, \mathcal{O}_Z(d)) \to \mathcal{O}_Z(d) \otimes (\mathcal{O}_{Z,\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{m}_\mathfrak{q}^4)$$

is surjective for any $\mathfrak{q} \in Z^\circ$ since $d/a \geq 3$ (Note that the inequality $d/a \geq 3$ follows from the assumption (3)). Thus f has only admissible critical points on Z°. Since we can assume that f contains y^l and $p \nmid l$, we see that X is nonsingular at any point of the finite set $X \setminus X^\circ$. We set $\mathcal{M} = \iota_*\mathcal{M}^\circ$, where $\mathcal{M}^\circ = \pi^{\circ *}\mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{O}_{Z^\circ}(d), f)$. Note that $\mathcal{M}$ is a line bundle. By Proposition 4.1, there is a universally CH_0-trivial resolution $\varphi\colon Y \to X$ such that $\varphi^*\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \Omega_Y^{n-1}$. We have

$$\mathcal{M} \cong \pi^*(\omega_Z \otimes \mathcal{O}_Z(d)) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(d - n - a)$$

and $H^0(X, \mathcal{M}) \neq 0$ since $d \geq d - n - a$. Thus a very general X, defined over $\mathbb{C}$, is not stably rational. □

Proof of Corollary 1.4. The assertion for $X_5 \subset \mathbb{P}^5$ follows from [16]. Since $X_6 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 2)$ and $X_8 \subset \mathbb{P}(1^5, 4)$ are triple and double covers of $\mathbb{P}^4$ branched along a divisor of degree 6 and 8, respectively, they are not stably rational by Theorem 1.1 or [7]. Finally the assertion for $X_{10} \subset \mathbb{P}(1^4, 2, 5)$ follows from Theorem 1.3. □

References

[1] A. Beauville, A very general sextic double solid is not stable rational, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **48** (2016), no. 2, 321–324.

[2] G. Brown and A. Kasprzyk, Four-dimensional projective orbifold hypersurfaces, Experiment. Math. **25** (2016), no. 2, 176–193.

[3] A. Chatzistamatiou and M. Levine, Torsion orders of complete intersections, arXiv:1605.01913.
[4] I. Cheltsov, Birationally super-rigid cyclic triple spaces, Izv. Math. **68** (2004), no. 6, 1229–1275.
[5] I. Cheltsov, Double spaces with singularities, Sb. Math. **199** (2008), no. 1-2, 291–306.
[6] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A. Pirutka, Hypersurfaces quartiques de dimension 3: non rationalité stable, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **49** (2016), no. 2, 371–397.
[7] J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and A. Pirutka, Revêtements cycliques qui ne sont pas stablement rationnels, arXiv:1506.00420, to appear in Izvestiya RAN, Ser. Math.
[8] B. Hassett and Y. Tschinkel, On stable rationality of Fano threefolds and del Pezzo fibration, arXiv:1601.07074.
[9] B. Hassett, A. Kresch and Y. Tschinkel, Stable rationality and conic bundles, arXiv:1503.08497, to appear in Math. Ann.
[10] B. Hassett, A. Pirutka and Y. Tschinkel, Stable rationality of quadric surface bundles over surfaces, arXiv:1603.09262.
[11] A. R. Iano-Fletcher, Working with weighted complete intersections, *Explicit birational geometry of* 3*-folds*, London Math. Soc. Lecture note Ser. **281**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2000.
[12] J. Kollár, Nonrational hypersurfaces, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **8** (1995), no. 1, 241–249.
[13] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, **32**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996.
[14] A. Pirutka, Varieties that are not stably rational, zero-cycles and unramified cohomology, arXiv:1603.09261.
[15] A. V. Pukhlikov, Birational automorphisms of double spaces with singularities, J. Math. Sci. (New York) **85** (1997), no. 4, 2128–2141.
[16] B. Totaro, Hypersurfaces that are not stably rational, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **29** (2016), no. 3, 883–891.
[17] C. Voisin, Unirational threefolds with no universal codimension 2 cycle, Invent. Math. **201** (2015), no. 1, 207–237.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Engineering
Saga University, Saga 840-8502 Japan
E-mail address: okada@cc.saga-u.ac.jp